



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/025,823

12/19/2001

Paul James Reddy

69017

4265

27975

7590

12/29/2005

ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A.  
1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE  
P.O. BOX 3791  
ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791

EXAMINER

RUDOLPH, VINCENT M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/025,823	REDDY, PAUL JAMES	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Vincent M. Rudolph	2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) Vincent M. Rudolph.

(2) Mark Zimmerman.

**Status of Application:** Final Rejection Mailed

(3) John Woodsen.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12/12/05

**Time:** 2:00 P.M.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Final rejection of application*

Claims discussed:

*Claim 1*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lobiondo (5,287,194)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Mark Zimmerman*

*Vincent M. Rudolph*  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed how the independent claim can overcome the prior art. It was then agreed upon that by removing "said document processing device and" in the final paragraph of claim 1, the limitation of the independent claim would overcome Lobiondo. As a result of the interview, Mr. Woodsen said an after final amendment would be sent out..